



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/390,303	09/03/1999	ATLE HEDLOY	9877-0003-20	6685
24267	7590	06/06/2006	EXAMINER	
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP			MOFIZ, APU M	
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE			ART UNIT	
BOSTON, MA 02210			PAPER NUMBER	
			2165	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/390,303

Applicant(s)

HEDLOY, ATLE

Examiner

Apu M. Mofiz

Art Unit

2165

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 September 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/05/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,4-8,16-18,21-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Land et al., (U.S. Patent No. 7,051,019 and Land hereinafter).

As to claims 1,7 and 8, Lands teaches providing a record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), providing an input device within a window or screen of the operating system (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) and configured to enter an execute command which initiates a record retrieval from local (i.e. local database) (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) and remote information sources (i.e. remote database) using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5,

lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), using said record retrieval program to enter first information (i.e. the text e.g., keyword to be entered in the queries) into search fields (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) provided in said record retrieval program, entering the execute command using the input device after the step of entering the first information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), searching, using the record retrieval program, directly the local and remote information sources (i.e. local and remote databases) for second information associated with the first information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) and displaying the second information (i.e. displaying the queried information e.g., images, texts, documents etc., based on indicated text e.g., keywords) in said record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), when one of the local and remote information sources includes second information associated with the first information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

As to claims 4,10,21 and 25, Land teaches the step of using said record retrieval program comprises using said record retrieval program to enter first information (i.e. indicated text/keyword in a query) comprising at least one of a name, a title, an address,

a telephone number, and an email address, or a part thereof (i.e. analogous to indicated text/keywords in a query), into said retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) and the step of searching comprises searching, using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), the local and remote information sources for second information comprising at least one of a name, a title, an address, a telephone number, and an email address, associated with the first information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

As to claims 5,11,14,16 and 22, Land teaches the step of providing an input device comprises providing one of a touch screen, a keyboard button, an icon, a menu and a voice command device (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), configured to enter an execute command which initiates a record retrieval from local and remote information sources using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), and the step of displaying the second information comprises displaying the second information comprising one of displaying a message screen with the second information and providing a voiced response of the second

Art Unit: 2165

information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

As to claims 6,12,17 and 18, Land teaches the step of providing an input device comprising providing an input device configured to enter an execute command which initiates a record retrieval from local and remote information sources comprising at least one of a file (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), a database program, a computer network (i.e., internet), and a contact management program, using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3,13-15,20,24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Land et al., (U.S. Patent No. 7,051,019 and Land hereinafter) as applied above, in view of Halim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,304,881 and Halim hereinafter).

As to claims 3,20,24 and 27, Land does not teach providing a user the option of making changes to the second information directly in the local information source.

Halim teaches providing a user the option of making changes to the second information directly in the local information source (Abstract; col 2, lines 30-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Land with the teachings of Halim to include providing a user the option of making changes to the second information directly in the local information source with the motivation to update the remote database using the information related to the local update (Halim, Abstract).

As to claim 13, Land teaches the step of using said record retrieval program comprises using said record retrieval program to enter first information (i.e. indicate text/keyword in a query) comprising at least one of a name, a title, an address, a telephone number, and an email address, or a part thereof (i.e. analogous to indicated text/keywords in a query), into said retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25) and the step of searching comprises searching, using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), the local and remote information sources for second information comprising at least one of a name, a title, an address, a telephone number, and an email address, associated with the first

information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

As to claim 14, Land teaches the step of providing an input device comprises providing one of a touch screen, a keyboard button, an icon, a menu and a voice command device (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), configured to enter an execute command which initiates a record retrieval from local and remote information sources using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), and the step of displaying the second information comprises displaying the second information comprising one of displaying a message screen with the second information and providing a voiced response of the second information (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

As to claim 15, Land teaches the step of providing an input device comprising providing an input device configured to enter an execute command which initiates a record retrieval from local and remote information sources comprising at least one of a file (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), a database (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col

7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25), a database program, a computer network, and a contact management program, using the record retrieval program (Fig. 3; Abstract; col 1, lines 39-67; col 2, lines 1-45; col 4, lines 30-45; col 5, lines 1-5; col 6, lines 4-13; col 7, lines 45-67; col 8, lines 1-25).

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-79 of U.S. Patent No. 6,323,853 (Hedloy). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Hedloy (U.S. Patent No. 6,323,853) in claim 1 states a "document" as a "local information source" (as called in the application) and an "information source" as a "remote information source" (as called in the application).

Art Unit: 2165

Hedloy (U.S. Patent No. 6,323,853) in claims 1 and 2 states storing the first information and displaying second information and also displaying the similarities and differences between the first and second information. Hedloy in the application stores the first information and displays the similarities and differences (i.e. inconsistencies) by marking the second information.

Points of Contact

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Apu M. Mofiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-4080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached at (571) 272-4146. The fax numbers for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.



Apu M. Mofiz
Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100

May 31, 2006